



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,950	09/03/2002	Erin L. Conner	112231	4803
27074 7590 09/09/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3629				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/09/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com
jarnstrong@oliff.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/064,950

Applicant(s)

CONNER ET AL.

Examiner

Jonathan Ouellette

Art Unit

3629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 6, 11 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, and 22-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 3, 4, 7-10, 12-21, 25, and 26 have been cancelled; therefore, Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, and 22-24 are currently pending in application 10/064,950.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, and 22-24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wasilewski et al. (US 2002/0105665 A1)**
4. As per **independent Claim 1**, Wasilewski discloses a method of transferring a computer file from a first storage media to a second storage media, comprising: reading the computer file from the first storage media and transferring the computer file into a third storage media; writing the file from the third storage media into the second storage media; and charging a transaction fee (Fig.5); and establishing a communication link to a portable electronic device through an IR port, a USB port, or a RS-232 port (Figs. 1-3, 5), wherein the portable electronic device is in communication with the third storage media through the communication link (Figs. 1-3, 5) (Para 0056, Kiosk can be equipped with peripherals to read digital image files from various sources such as memory cards and portable storage devices

like memory sticks – such device require equivalent ports as claimed – and thus would be equivalent to the claimed general peripheral).

5. Wasilewski fails to expressly disclose wherein the transfer of the computer file from the first storage media is controlled by the portable electronic device.
6. However, Wasilewski does disclose the ability to connect and receive data from a wide variety of peripheral devices (portable devices) through a variety of ports included in the system (Figs. 1-3, 5).
7. Furthermore, the Applicant discloses that portable electronic devices commonly collected and transferred digital images at the time the invention was made (Para 0002 – Related Art)
8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein the transfer of the computer file from the first storage media is controlled by the (a) portable electronic device, as disclosed by the Applicant in the system disclosed by Wasilewski, for the advantage of providing a method of transferring a computer file from a first storage media to a second storage media, with the ability to increase usability of the system by providing multiple ways of transferring data into the system (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] “The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.”).
9. As per Claim 2, Wasilewski discloses wherein the second storage media is paper (Figs. 1-3, 5).
10. As per Claim 5, Wasilewski discloses establishing another communication link over a computer network to a remote computer, wherein the second storage media is controlled by

the remote computer and is in communication with the third storage media over the computer network (Figs. 1-3, 5).

11. As per Claim 6, Wasilewski discloses wherein the computer network is the internet (Figs. 1-3, 5; Para 0009 and 0011).
12. As per Claim 11, Wasilewski discloses determining a transaction type, wherein the first storage media and the second storage media are identified according to the determined transaction type (Figs. 1-3, 5).
13. As per **independent Claim 22**, Wasilewski discloses a system for transferring a computer file from a first storage media, the system comprising: a second media distribution device for distributing a second storage media; a first media read/write interface for coupling to the first storage media; and a payment interface for accepting payment for a transaction in which the computer file is transferred from the first storage media to the second storage media (Figs. 1-3, 5); and a portable electronic device interface, wherein the portable electronic device interface establishes a communication link through an IR port, an USB port, or a RS-232 port (Figs. 1-3, 5) and, wherein the portable electronic device is in communication with the second media through the communication link (Figs. 1-3, 5; Para 0056, Kiosk can be equipped with peripherals to read digital image files from various sources such as memory cards and portable storage devices like memory sticks – such device require equivalent ports as claimed – and thus would be equivalent to the claimed general peripheral).
14. Wasilewski fails to expressly disclose wherein the transfer of the computer file from the first storage media to the second storage media is controlled by a portable electronic device connected to the portable electronic device interface.

15. However, Wasilewski does disclose the ability to connect and receive data from a wide variety of peripheral devices (portable devices) through a variety of ports included in the system (Figs. 1-3, 5).
16. Furthermore, the Applicant discloses that portable electronic devices commonly collected and transferred digital images at the time the invention was made (Para 0002 – Related Art)
17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein the transfer of the computer file from the first storage media to the second storage media is controlled by a portable electronic device connected to the portable electronic device interface, as disclosed by the Applicant in the system disclosed by Wasilewski, for the advantage of providing a method of transferring a computer file from a first storage media to a second storage media, with the ability to increase usability of the system by providing multiple ways of transferring data into the system (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] “The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.”).
18. As per Claim 23, Wasilewski discloses wherein the second storage media is paper and the second media distribution device is a digital photocopier (Figs. 1-3, 5).
19. As per Claim 24, Wasilewski discloses wherein the second media is portable electronic media and the second media distribution device is an electronic media distribution device (Figs. 1-3, 5).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 5/22/2008, with respect to Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, and 22-24, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
22. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (571) 272-6807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.
24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone numbers for the organization

Art Unit: 3629

where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official communications.

25. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

September 5, 2008

/Jonathan Ouellette/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629